

G. R. S. 58]

Previous references

Jul 22-10 & 15, 15-16 Dec 1910
Jul 53-07 & 1, 2, 4 & 15 July 1915.

M/ File No. 21-13

Serial Nos. 10, 13, 14, 17
35-38

(Municipal)

Later references.

Jul 3-17 80 & 750. Oct 10
" " 18, 19, 21 & 23
" 3-17 80 & 26, 3-13 4-12

Presume

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.



Serial Nos. 10, 13, 14, 17 + 35-38

Part B for June 1915

SUBJECT.

Propose that the Municipal Council of Buckal may be superseded from the 5th Feb 1914. to 30 June 1914. and that the Subd. Comm. of Doddaballapur and the Councils of Buckal & 2 other are offl. and are authorized may be vested with power to carry on Municipal Comm.

To the Commr. Mysore Dist. No 747 on 10-2-14.

Refers to the re-constitution of the
Municipal Council of Buckal consisting of 5 M. Officers
and nine nominated councillors with effect
from the 5th February 1914.

To the D. C. Bangalore with No 9688 on 2.6.15

Points out certain irregularities on the part
of the officers in not taking proper action & in
the Municipal Council of Buckal in the time

Whether the Privilege of election may be given
to the Auckland and Council which it has not
through solely its fault, may also be considered

E. J. J. J.
15/6/15

1913-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mb)

NOTES

File No 21-13

FILE HEADING.

Municipal Council Bangalore DC

From

The Dy. Com^r, Bangalore DC

No 1. 589

Dated 30.1.14

Received 4.2.14

Diary No
4352

Enclos - Spare Copies -

Serial No 10

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Recommends that, in the circumstances explained by him, the Munc. Council of Anekal may be superseded from the 5th Feb. to 30th June 1914 and that the Sub. Dy. Asstt. Com^r of Doddaballapur, the Amildar of Anekal and two non-official mem^rs. may be invested with powers to carry on munc. administration.

NOTES AND ORDERS

The Dy. Commissioner's letter explains the case fully. The remedy proposed by the Dy. Com^r is an extreme measure. The case is not that an election was held and proved abortive but that those responsible did not take steps in time for

General and Revenue
Secretariat

dl File No. 21 of 1913.

Serial
No

holding the election. Supercession argues general incompetency on the part of the Mumb. Council as a body & that position may very probably be resented by the non-official gentlemen on the Mumb. Council, the more especially as the Dy. Com^r suggests that during the period of such supercession the Amildar and the Sub-Dy. Asst. Com^r may associate themselves with two non-official gentlemen who were hitherto on the Council for carrying on the Municipal administration. It is therefore respectfully suggested for consideration as an alternative whether, instead of superseding the Mumb. Council itself under Sec 179, all the Mumb. Councillors may not be nominated by Govt to hold office till the next session i.e. for three years. This course, if adopted, will only supersede the order of 22nd Sep. 1900 about the Constitution of Mumb. Councils so far as it relates to Amildar. The case requires immediate orders.

MAY 1914

4.2.14
2 Draft ~~of~~ notification under Sec 14(1)
(a) of the Mumb. Regⁿ and another under

NOTE—Contn sheet.

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No. 21 of 1913.

Serial
No

Sec 14 (1) (b) Appointing all the present
Councillors for the reconstituted Council
may be proposed

Am
4/2

3. Two drafts submitted. From the
list of Mumb. Councillors received from
the Dy. Commissioner's Office it is seen that
Mr. E. Narain Rao's seat on the Mumb. Council
is vacant. Accordingly, the Mumb. Council
is made to consist of 14 Councillors in draft
no. 1 as against the usual number of
15 Councillors, with a view to avoid delay.

Amended
5.2.14

(3) ²⁵ Action under Sec 14 appears to be
(1) most suitable in this case, as the
form of Municipal Government
will continue to be the same as hereto-
fore, and the unpleasant associations
of Section 179 will be avoided

The latter section is a drastic
remedy to be applied when the Mumb.
Council as a whole makes persistent
default in the performance of its
Corporate functions, and does not
appear to be appropriate in the

46 File No. 21 of 1913.

4

Serial
No.

present instance, where the default
is more than of the Executive than
of the Council

Drafts submitted for Consideration

Sec 4)

Draft I does not appear to me to satisfy the legal requirements
of cl 1(a) of sec 14. The M.D. Reg may know see me about
this

Draft I maybe revised as suggested by me & a copy of

put up

6 Fair draft of Note I subd

M.D. 100
7.2.14
13.2.14

Sec 4)

7 The draft regulations maybe approved. A separate order
may be proposed for ascertaining & fixing the responsibilities
for this fiasco

Sec 4
member

8 Approved. The council of
the officers responsible should be
held accountable of their actions.

10/2 Done today

10/2

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

4352 ✓ 5
de

File No. 24 of 1913

Serial
No

13 10

To. Mr. Comptroller, Mysore Gazette No 7747 B

10.2.14.

Notifies that, even the extended
term of the Rural Council of Buckhal has
expired owing to indifference in arranging for
a fresh general election, it is hereby declared that
the provisions of Sections 6 to 18 of the Rural Reg.
shall cease to be applicable to the said Council until
further orders and that under Sec 14 (1) (c), it
is hereby ordered in supersession of
all previous resolutions that the Rural Council
of Buckhal shall consist of five ex-officio
and nine nominated Councillors with effect
from 5th Feb 1914.

11. Memo No 7748 G 10.2.14 to Mr. Dy. Com.,
Bangalore St

Forwards copy of the above

14. 12. To Mr. Comptroller, Mysore Gazette

No 7747 B 10.2.14.

Notifies the reconstitution of the
Buckhal Rural Council in pursuance of S. 13.

13. Memo No 7750 G 10.2.14. to Mr. Dy. Com.,
Bangalore St

Forwards copy of S. 14.

14. Mr. C. S. Kuppuswamiyer is Mr. Dy. Com.,
Doddaballapur Sub D and President of the
Buckhal Rural Council. Mr. P. Gopalany was,

NOTE - Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No. 21 of 1913.

Serial
No.

200. B. B. B. is the President & Vice President
of the said Council.

15. Draft Calling for the explanation
of the above two officers referred to above in the
matter as ordered by the 2nd Member of
Council in para 8 *supra*, is submitted for
approval.

16. Please put up revised draft as
suggested in the *prompt* one
12.2.14
B.S.
7

17. A revised draft is submitted

18. *Wm. J. J.*
12.1.14
B.S.
18/2

17 19 Letter No 7976 D. 19. 2. 1914 to
the Dy. Commr., Bangalore DC2

Calls for the explanation of the
officers concerned for the failure to
hold the Munc. elections in time.

35- 20 Letter No 5639 D. 15 4/15 from the D.C. Bangalore
re explanation of the officers concerned for their
failure to hold a fresh election for the

21 reconstitution of the Anical M.C. Council.
Submitted for C. Secy

Refer the tape of over a year *long* *ago*

Serial
No

The D.C. has taken over a year to submit this report & yet it is not final.

22. When the explanation of the officers concerned was called for, it is not clear why the D.C. should wait for the receipt of the explanation from Mr P. Gopalraj who the then Amildar of Ankal, before ~~calling~~ addressing the then Pres of the Int Council Mr C S. Kuppuswami Iyengar

23 The D.C. says that he will call for the explanation of Mr Kuppuswami Iyengar if necessary; but the G.O. No 79768 19.2.14 does not appear to leave any option to the D.C. in the matter.

24 Perhaps the D.C. thinks that ²⁰¹⁴ ~~the~~ the explanation of the Clerk & Mr Gopalraj will clear up the whole matter and that it will be unnecessary to call for Mr Kuppuswami Iyengar's explanation. The promised further report may be awaited till the end of this month, and if not received a reminder may be issued

25
22/4

22/4

P.T.V

8

6697

U. R. S 12]

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No. 21 of 1913.

Serial
No
36

25 From the Deputy Commr, Bangalore Dt No 651 dated 15th April 1915. Forwards the explanation of Mr. P. Gopaluraj Urs for not holding Muni elections in time in Anekal and states that he will submit a further report on obtaining the explanation of Mr. C. S. Kuppusami Iyer, the then President of the Anekal Muni Council.

26 The further report from the Dy Commr may be awaited

27 Yes
(66) 30/4/15 30/4

Sur
1/5

28 37 From the Dy Commr, Bangalore Dt No 692 dated 27th April 1915. Forwards in original the explanation of Messrs P. Gopaluraj Urs and C. S. Kuppusami Iyer.

29 Mr Gopaluraj Urs says that he made a recommendation for the extension of the term of the Muni Council and that as no reply was received to his reference and to subsequent reminder, he thought his recommendation had been approved and that formal sanction would be sent

Serial
No

in due course

30 Mr Kuppusami Iyer for states that the first report of Mr Gopalakrishna was not brought to his notice and that if Mr. Gopalakrishna had replied to the 1st of the many reminders issued and invited reference to his report without being giving room for the issue of a Special Do action could have been taken at once

31 Submitted with reference to paras 28 of the notes above for others

32 The explanations are by no means satisfactory Each officer

4/5/15 tries to throw the blame on the ~~other~~ other One thing is clear viz

5/5 that both in the Taluk office, and Sub-division office there must have been confusion of the two offices had gone on simply issuing reminders to one another in a mechanical sort of way without enquiring what had become of the original reference. The system of work in the Taluk & Sub-Division offices & Taluk office where Revenue work is assigned a more or less subordinate position in comparison with criminal work, and delegated solely

G. R. S 12]

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No. 2/

of 1913

Serial
No

to clerks is more to blame for fiascos like the present than the officers themselves. It must be realised by the officers that this should not be the case, but their work must be considered just as important as criminal work, and therefore must have just as large a share of their personal attention as the latter. Over sights like the present can be avoided to some extent, if at the beginning of every official year, a Calendar is drawn up of all the important events requiring attention during the year.

33 In the present case considering the lapse of time since the fiasco took place, and the difficulty of fixing the responsibility accurately on the shoulders of any one officer, in the absence of a detailed Enquiry into the dates when the first reference was made, when it was replied to, when the orders were issued, it is for consideration whether the matter may not be dropped.
(By Secy)

Mon
12/5/13

Ml File No. 21

of 1912

66/11 (11)

Serial
No.88
24/5

34 ~~Letter~~ - I have put up
a suitable draft referring to all the
circumstances. The question whether the
privilege of election may be given
to the Municipal Council which it has not lost
through solely its fault may
also be considered

22/5/15

35 Draft subd
by Secy)
H. P. S.
16/3/15
25/5/15

Mm
26/5

Draft may be app

27/5/15

2nd member

Draft approved by 2nd member

29/5/15

31/5

31/5

31/5-15

36 2. The D.C Bangalore No 9683 Dye 6.15 Issues an
order in the terms of para 34 above

14

List of Municipal Councillors of the
13th city

Perpet

S. R. S.
21. 2. 14

Dear Mr. Subbarayan

under Doddaballapur
Sub-division
President

Your office letter No. 5589 resident
dated the 30th June 1914 re fees
Municipal Council fees

Will you kindly let me
have by return a list
of the Municipal Councillors of
the Council, both elected & ^{re} vacant.
terminated.

Yours sincerely
M. N. S. S. S.

S

1. Mr. Marige Hanumanthappa
2. " Mudrachappa (Vice notification no
5613 dated 23 12 10)
nd 22-10
3. " Yedman Chikkanna
4. " Sasseem Khan (son of Miyek Khan)
5. " Chikkanna (son of Vasanthappa)

Dear Mr. King

The enclosed Packet gives
the brief particulars
you require

Yours &c
W. D. Williams



List of Municipal Councillors of the Ankel municipality

15
ioner,
y 1914.

Ex-officio

1. Assistant Commissioner, Doddaballapur
Sub-division
President
2. Amildar - Vice - President
3. Senior medical officer
4. Senior S.P.W. officer
Sub-Registrar.

Elected

1. Mr. S. Narain Rao - President.
2. Mr. Srikanthabhatta
3. Mr. Rudrappa
4. Mr. Rama Rao
5. Mr. Shankappa

Nominated

1. Mr. Marige Hanumanthappa
2. " Mudrachappa (Vice notification no. 56/13 dated 23 12 10)
MA 22-10
3. " Yeguman Chikkamma
4. " Hussein Khan (son of Miyach Khan)
5. " Chikkamma (son of Vasanthappa)

S

15

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Dated Bangalore, 30th January 1914.

No. 4539

31

From

K.Chandy Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

84352

Jul 21-13
86

To

K.R.Sreenivasa Iyengar Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

As promised in this office letter No. 742, dated 30-8-13 I have the honor to state that the term of the existing municipal councillors of the Anekal municipal council, had expired by the 5th February 1913, and Government taking the initiative, extended their term of office for another year, i.e. till 5th February 1914. Vide Government Proceedings No. 995/Mt. 100-13-1 dated 23rd July 1913.

P

2. Considering the circumstances under which the reconstitution of the Municipal councils were neglected, Government issued clear and definite instructions in their proceedings No. 5053-60/Mt. 100-13-3 dated 1st November 1913, for the timely reconstitution of -- Municipal Councils.

Q

3. The orders of Government referred to above, were communicated to the Anekal Municipal council, and the President was reminded on 10th December 1913 about the reconstitution of the council. No -- steps have been taken by the Municipal Council for their reconstitution. The Vice President seems to have reported to the President that the term of the present council may be extended by another -- term of three years, and the President in his Yadi No. 1038 dated 26th January 1914, requests that a special recommendation may be made to Government to extend their term till the end of April 1914, and by which time he hopes to hold another election.

4. As the total period of 4 years expires by the 5th February 1914, section 17 of the Mysore Municipal Regulation does not allow of any

From

191

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

The Secretary
General Revenue Department

Dated 30-1-14

Despatched : 1-1-14

Received

General No. 589
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Requests that Government order
the Suppression of the Annual
Municipal Councils from
2nd Feb 14 to 30th June 14 and
direct certain Councils with
power & duties of the Municipal
Council

any extension, and disables the present municipal council to continue in office. Action under section 179 of the Municipal Regulation seems to be necessary. Thus it may be seen that the Municipal council have made default in the performance of the duties imposed on them by or under the Municipal Regulation. I therefore request, that Government may be pleased to order the supersession of the Municipal ^{Council} from 5th February 1914 to 30th June 1914 and invest the marginally noted councillors, with the powers and duties

1. The Assistant Commissioner,
Dodbhallapur Sub-Division.
2. The Amildar, Anekal Taluk.
3. Mr. Rama Rao.
4. MudduRajappa.

of the Municipal council, as there seems to be no other alternative.

In the meanwhile I shall instruct

the President to take timely steps for the reconstitution of the Municipal council so as to admit of the new council coming into power from the 1st of July 1914.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

K. Mandy

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

sh

10/2 ✓

Signed by Mr
A.V. Ramanathan

I

17

No. 7747 9 10.2 14
Int. 21-13-13

Notification

Whereas even the extended term of the Mumb. Council of Anekal has expired owing to indifference in arranging for a fresh general election, it is hereby declared under section 46(1) (a) of the Mysore Mumb. Regulation VII of 1906 that the provisions of sections 10 to 13 thereof shall cease to be applicable to the said Municipality until further orders and under 46(1) (b) of the same section it is hereby ordered, in supersession of all previous notifications that the Mumb. Council of Anekal shall consist of five Ex-officio and nine nominated Councillors

Wrote

with effect from Nov 5 - Feb.
1914.

By order

7/2 In Teedy
 9/2

10/2

2 The Compiler propose Gazette
for publication with a request
that 5 spare copies of this
Notice may be sent to this
Office

Primo no. 7748 / ml. 21-13 3 10.2 14

Copy forwarded to the
Sd/- Bangalore Distt.,
for information, with reference
to his letter No. 5589 dated
the 30th Jan^y 1914.

By water

Am
7/19/2006

2 draft - II ¹⁹
no. 7749 9 10 2.14
ml. 21-13-14

Agreed by Mr.
A. V. Ramaswathan

Notification

The Amekab Town
Municipal Council is re-
constituted as follows in
pursuance of Govt Order

No 7747 D 18-2-14
dt 21-13-13

Ex-officio M.C. Members

- (1) The Amekab, Comⁿ Doddaballapur
Sub-Div (President)
- (2) The Amildar of the Amekab
Taluk (Vice-President)
- (3) The Senior Medl Officer, Amekab
- (4) The Senior D.P. Officer
- (5) The Sub-Registrar Amekab
Taluk

Nominated M.C. Members

- (1) Mr. Srikanthabhatla
- (2) " Rameshwar
- (3) " Rama Rao
- (4) " Shankarabhatla

- (6) " Muddanayappa
 (7) " Yajaman Chikkanna
 (8) " Hussein Khan (son of
 Muzah Khan)
 (9) " Chikkanna (son of
 Vasanthaiah)

By order

Am
 5/2 For Secy

Deeg ✓

Uch
 10/2

To, The Compiler, Mysore Gazette
 for publication with a request
 that 8 spare copies of the
~~note~~ may be sent to this
 Office

Memo no. 7750 / ml 21-13 8 10 2 14

Copy forwarded to the
 Dy. Commr, Bangalore Dist.,
 for information, with reference
 to his letter No. S. 589 dated
 the 30th January 1946

" By order "

Am
 5/2 For Secy

Diary No. of letter replied to 4352

(*Grand.*)
, the 191

Signed by Mrs. V. Ramana Rao

21
+
Bills for explanation of the officers
concerned for their failure to File No. 21-6
hold a fresh election for the Serial No. 17
reconstitution of the District
rent Council

July draft No 7976
ml 21-13-
9/19 2 14.

The Dy Commr, Bangalore St.

5

In continuation of this office
Memo No 7748/mul 21-13-13 Dtd
7759/mul 21-13-14 Dated the 6th
February 1914 regarding the re-
constitution of the Bushkal Rural
Council, I am directed to request
you to ^{be so good as to} obtain and forward early
the explanations of the officers
who are responsible for the
failure to hold the elections

over

SUBJECT

45d/44

(for the reconstitution of the
Buckat Municipal Commission)

I have to.

~~Mr. J. H. Searcy~~

~~Mr. J. H. Searcy~~
Mr. J. H. Searcy

List of enclosures.

Copied by J. H. Searcy
Examined by

Office of the Deputy Commissioner ^{ad}

Bangalore district

Bangalore dated the

12th April 1915

15

D 6451 / 35
21-13-
J 639

From

H. Chandy, Esq., B. A.

Deputy Commissioner

Bangalore district

To.

D. M. Narasinga Rao Esq., B. A., B. L.

Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore

General and Revenue Depts.

Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to Correspondence

ending with your letter No ⁷⁶⁷⁷ m L 21-13 dated 3-4-15 A reminder for
his office

regarding the submission of the explanation
of the officers concerned for their failure
to hold a fresh election for the reconstitution
of the Anekal Municipal Council, I have
the honour to state that the Sub Division
officer of Dadballapur Sub division who
was asked to submit their explanations
states in his yadi No 325 received on 23-3-15,
~~that~~ The Taluk official who was responsible
for

From

191

The Deputy Commissioner
Bengaluru District

To

The Secretary to the Government
General and Revenue Dept.

Dated

Despatched 18-4-18

Received

General No. 4639
No.

Enclosure No.

Re the submission of the
explanations of the officers
concerned for their failure
to hold fresh elections
for the reconstitution of
the Anikudal Council

for the mistake had been fined as 4/-, and that the then Amildar Mr P Gopalraj was quite new to the work and that at present he is on other duty as Assistant Commissioner elsewhere, and under these circumstances the Sub Division officer solicits to know if it is necessary to obtain the explanation of Mr Gopalraj was But as Government in their letter No 7976 M L 21-13-17 dated 19-2-14 have called for the explanation of the officers responsible for the failure to hold a fresh election, I have written to the Registrar of Co-operative Societies in Mysore, to obtain the explanation of Mr. Gopalraj was and forward the same to this office at a very early date on receipt of this, I shall ask the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore to instruct if necessary to submit the explanation of Mr. G. S. Kuppaswami Iyengar who was the then President of the Anthal Municipality The original explanation of the clerk who has been fined 4/- as has also been called for in order to whether any further action is necessary

necessary A further report will be submitted to Government in due course.

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

N. C. A. Dey

Deputy Commissioner

Bangalore District



Office of the Deputy Commissioner 26
Bangalore District
Bangalore dated
15th April 1915.

D 6697
Hut 21-13-36
From

H. Chandy Esq B. A.
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

D H Narasinga Rao Esq B A B.L
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No 639 dated 15-4-15 in re the failure to hold a fresh election for the reconstitution of the Anekal Municipal Council, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of the explanation given by Mr P. Gopalrao Wrs, the then Amildar of Anekal and to state that I am addressing the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District to obtain the explanation of Mr G. S. Kuppa-Swami Iyengar, the then President. A further report will be submitted to Govt in due course

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

H. Chandy

Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District



From

1914-15

The Deputy Commissioner in
 Charge of the Bangalore District

To

The Secretary to the Fort
 General Department
 Bangalore

Dated

15th April 1915

Despatched

Received

General No. S. 651.
 No.

Enclosure No. 1.

Re failure to hold a fresh
 election for the reconstitution of
 the Annual and Council for C.
 of the Expts of Mr P. Chakravarty

Copy of Explanation ~~from~~ ²⁷ ~~to~~ ^{of}
Mr. D. Gopalrao was Probationary,
Assistant Commissioner, Bangalore,
addressed to the Registrar of Co-operative
Societies in Mysore, Bangalore

According to the Bangalore District
Deputy Commissioner's letter no. 4627 dated
the 10th instant in re the failure to
renew the Arakal Municipal Council,
I have the honor to inform you that as
stated in my O.O. of the 22nd January 1911,
addressed to Mr. C. S. Raghuprasanna Iyer
the then sub-division officer of the Dod Ballapur
Sub-division the re-election referred to was not
made, as I had reported that the term of the
existing members should be extended by another
three years and as ~~no~~ no reply was received to my
reference on the subject, and subsequent
reminder I thought that my recommendation

all
had been approved and formal sanction would
be sent to me in due course

2. The Vernacular file of papers received
from the Deputy Commissioner, is herewith
forwarded.

(cc) P. Gopal Rao IAS
Probationary Assistant
Commissioner

" Copy "

Ramantakaraman
For Deputy Commissioner
Sangli District

RR

D 6871/37 Deputy Commissioner's Office
 Bangalore District
 Dated Bangalore 27.4.1915

From,

K Chaudry Esqr B.A.
 Deputy Commissioner
 Bangalore District

To,

D. M. Narasinga Rao Esqr B.A. B.C.
 Secretary to Government of Mysore
 General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 651 dated
 4.1915 submitting a copy of the explanation
 Mr P Chakraborty Raj Bahadur for the failure to
 hold a fresh election at Anekkal I have the
 honor to submit herewith in original the explanation
 to said officer and that of Mr C S. Kuppasami
 Esqr, the then President of the Anekkal
 Municipal Council

I have the honor to be

Sir
 your most obedient servant
 K Chaudry
 Deputy Commissioner
 Bangalore District

11
From

1914-15—

The Deputy Comm
Bangalore Dis

To

The Secretary to the
Genl. & Rev

Bangalore

Dated

Despatched 30th April 1914

Received

General No. S. 692
No.

Enclosure No. 2

Submitting the Explanation of
C. S. Kuppaswami Iyer for S.
D. S. Kuppaswami for failure
a fresh election at Anand

File No: 5840

GFB-100,000-7-9-13

30

23.4.15

191

From

C S Kuppuswamy Iyer Esq
Sub Division Officer
Mysore Dn

To

A. Subramanya Iyer Esq B.A.
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore Dn

Dated 22 - 4 - 15

Despatched

Received

General No 360
No.

Enclosure No.

Sir,

With reference to your memo
No 4142 dated 17-4-15
forwarding in original
Bangalore District D
Commissioner's letter No 477
dated 15-4-15, I have the
honor to state that, if I
remember right the receipt
of the Amildar's first report
was not brought to my
notice. A number of re-
minders were I think
to him & he had no
as the first remainder
received by him replied
referring to his origin

Recd
23.4.15
Lte
2
W4/184

report necessary action could have been taken at once. The reminder elicited no reply till I wrote a D.O. to Mr. Gopalraj Rao on 20th January 1916 and hence the failure to hold the election.

The entire file is returned herewith.

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your most obedient servant

B. L. M. M.

Sub Division Officer,
Mysore Dist.

ms

82

OFFICE OF THE
REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES
IN MYSORE.

Dated Bangalore, 12th April 1915.

From

P. Gopalaraaj Urs, Esq., B.A. B.D

Probationary Assistant Commissioner,

(Office of the Registrar of Co-operative
Societies in Mysore)

B a n g a l o r e .

To

H.A. Narayan Aiyangar, Esq., B.A. B.L.,

Registrar of Co-operative Societies in Mysore,

B a n g a l o r e .

Sir,

Adverting to the Bangalore District Deputy Commissioner's letter No.4627 dated the 10th instant in re the failure to reconstitute the Anekal Municipal Council, I have the honor to inform you that as stated in my D.O. of the 22nd January 1914 ~~and subsequent reminders~~ addressed to Mr C.S. Kuppasami Iyengar the then Sub-Division Officer of the Doddaballapur Sub-Division, the reelection referred to was not made, as I had reported that the term of the existing members should be extended by another three years and as no reply was received to my reference on the subject *with a request for reconsideration* I thought my recommendation had been approved and formal sanction would be sent to me in due course.

2 The Vernacular file of papers received from the

Deputy Commissioner

34

2.

Deputy Commissioner is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servan

13


38



Probationary Asst: Commissio



M.U.N.



G.R.S 28]

1/6

Diary No. of letter replied to 6877

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

()

the

191

Date of issue

2.6.15

Signed by

Mr B. Subbarao

in for not reconstituting the Ancestral
Mund Council in time at

to for

✓

File No. 2413

Serial No 38

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore

READ—

Letter no 692 dated 27th April 1915

from the Deputy Commr of the Bangalore
District, forwarding the explan-
ations of the President and Vice
President of the Ancestral Mund
Council for not holding fresh
elections in the Ministry after
in order to reconstitute the
Mund Council after ^{their} ~~the~~ term
~~expired~~ the expiry of their term
over

SUBJECT.

1915

The term of three years for which the Anekal Mandal Council ~~was~~ was constituted having expired on the 5th Feb 1913 and no action having been taken to reconstitute the same by holding fresh elections, the Govt took the initiative and extended the term of the Mandal Council till the 5th Feb 1916 under section 17 of the Mandal Regn. and also issued general instructions in Nov 1913 for the speedy reconstitution of the Mandal Councils in the State.

Please see
Sl 10 in
the file

2. In spite of reminder from the Deputy Commr's Office, no steps were taken by the Mandal Council of Anekal for their reconstitution by holding fresh elections. ~~That was the fact~~

and the Mandal Council was
~~officially~~ reconstituted by Govt
~~appointing~~ only ex-officio
and nominated members

3. Mr P. Gopalrao, who was then Vice Pres of Anekal Mandal Council explained that he reported to the President Mr Kuppusami Iyer that the term of the present Mandal Council might be extended for

Please see
Sl 37

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form)

Please see
sl 37

3
36

~~another 3 years and that~~
~~at no reply was received at~~
~~his reference he thought his~~
~~recommendation was~~
~~approved and that~~ ^{Communicated}
~~sanction would be sent to him~~
~~and~~ ^{in due course}, Mr Kripka
~~Sanjivdas, on the other hand~~
explains that the Amulaur's
first report was not brought to his
notice and that if Mr Gokula
~~raj had~~ ^{had} replied to the first
of the ~~number~~ ^{numerous} of remials
~~issued to him and referred to his~~
~~Recommendation for the further~~
~~extension of the term of the~~
~~Amul Council, necessary~~
~~action could have been taken~~
~~to reconstitute the Amul~~
~~Council at once,~~

4 The explanations for
~~urged are not satisfactory~~
and disclose want of method
and realization of individual

37
in watching the disposal of
important subjects. Suitable
steps should be taken to
guard against the recurrence
of such irregularities
~~to be taken~~. Before the
expiry of the term of the present
Municipal Council at Ahmed
the Deputy Commissioner ^{is requested to} ~~may~~ con-
sider whether the privilege
of election now withdrawn
from the rate payers of this
Municipality ^{not} may be restored
to them and submit
suitable recommendations
in time to report.

~~for~~
29/5

For City Secy

28/5/15

Cell
29/5

4/5/15

The Dy Commr, Bangalore

